





DATE MAILED: 10/02/2002

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                  |      | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/447,443                                       |      | 11/22/1999  | PRASANTA BEHERA      | NETS0059            | 3814             |
| 22862  | 7590 | 10/02/2002  |                      |                     |                  |
| GLENN PATENT GROUP<br>3475 EDISON WAY<br>SUITE L |      |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
|  |      |             |                      | DODDS, HAROLD E     |                  |
| MENLO PARK, CA 94025                             |      |             | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |
| ·  |      |             |                      | 2177                | <u> </u>         |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

OF THE

|   |  | pr-  |
|---|--|--|
| •   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |
| Advisory Action   | 09/447,443   | BEHERA, PRASANTA   |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |
|   | Harold E. Dodds, Jr.   | 2177   |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app  | ears on the cover sheet with th  | ne correspondence address  |
| THE REPLY FILED 16 September 2002 FAILS TO PLATherefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  | avoid abandonment of this ap<br>(1) a timely filed amendment v                       | plication. A proper reply to a which places the application in                                     |
| PERIOD FOR RI   | EPLY [check either a) or b)]   |  |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of  |  |  |
| b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  | han SIX MONTHS from the mailing dat<br>S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF                  | te of the final rejection.<br>THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The displayed been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | nsion and the corresponding amount of<br>ed statutory period for reply originally se | the fee. The appropriate extension fee under it in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF   |  |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to   | because:   |  |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would require furth  | ner consideration and/or searc   | ch (see NOTE below);   |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note  | below);  |  |
| <ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application<br/>issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>   | in better form for appeal by n   | naterially reducing or simplifying the   |
| (d) they present additional claims without cance  | eling a corresponding number   | of finally rejected claims.  |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.   |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection   | ction(s):  |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).   | d be allowable if submitted in   | a separate, timely filed amendment   |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _  | or reconsideration has been co   | onsidered but does NOT place the   |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  | ecause it is not directed SOLE   | LY to issues which were newly  |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims v   |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows   | <b>S</b> :   |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:   |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:   |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1-27.  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |  |  |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is  | s a)□ approved or b)□ dis  | approved by the Examiner.  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme   | ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s   | s) 0   |
| 10. Other:  |  | John C. R.   |
| Harle E. Ocado, L.  |  | OHN BREENE<br>SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  |
|   |  | TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100   |

\_Coaπhuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/447,443





Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The Applicant has added the phrases "access control command attribute" and "Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (LDAP)" to independent Claims 1, 5, 6, 10, 14, 15, 19, 23, and 24. The Applicant has added the phrases "read access control command resides in a directory containing said LDAP attributes" to independent Claims 1, 10, and 19, "write access control command resides in a directory containing said LDAP attributes" to independent Claims 6, 15, and 24, and "read access control command and write access control command reside in a directory containing said LDAP attributes" to independent Claims 5, 14, and 23.